



ISSN: 0149-0451 (Print) 1521-0529 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ugmb20

Isolation and Characterization of Thermophilic Bacteria from Geothermal Areas in Turkey and Preliminary Research on Biotechnologically **Important Enzyme Production**

Mustafa Ozkan Baltaci, Berna Genc, Sumeyya Arslan, Gulsah Adiguzel & Ahmet Adiguzel

To cite this article: Mustafa Ozkan Baltaci, Berna Genc, Sumeyya Arslan, Gulsah Adiguzel & Ahmet Adiguzel (2017) Isolation and Characterization of Thermophilic Bacteria from Geothermal Areas in Turkey and Preliminary Research on Biotechnologically Important Enzyme Production, Geomicrobiology Journal, 34:1, 53-62, DOI: 10.1080/01490451.2015.1137662

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2015.1137662



Accepted author version posted online: 12 lan 2016. Published online: 01 Jul 2016.



🖉 Submit your article to this journal 🗷

Article views: 340



View related articles



Citing articles: 3 View citing articles 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=ugmb20

Isolation and Characterization of Thermophilic Bacteria from Geothermal Areas in Turkey and Preliminary Research on Biotechnologically Important Enzyme Production

Mustafa Ozkan Baltaci^a, Berna Genc^b, Sumeyya Arslan^a, Gulsah Adiguzel^c, and Ahmet Adiguzel^a

^aDepartment of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Science, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey; ^bDepartment of Genetic and Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering and Life Sciences, Gumushane University, Gumushane, Turkey; ^cDepartment of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey

ABSTRACT

In this study, the isolation, identification and characterization of the thermophilic bacteria from different hot springs in Turkey were carried out by conventional (morphological, physiological and biochemical tests) and molecular methods (fatty acid methyl esters, GTG5-PCR and 16S rRNA sequencing). These thermophilic bacteria were then tested for their capability to produce enzymes such as lipase, protease, amylase and cellulase. O20 strain is a novel species according to identification studies. All of its isolates were capable of producing industrially valuable enzymes based on screening. In fact, most of them could produce at least two of these enzymes.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received October 2015 Accepted December 2015

KEYWORDS 16S rRNA sequencing; enzyme; FAMEs; GTG5-PCR; thermophilic bacteria

Introduction

Temperature is a vital parameter for microbial growth, and microorganisms may prefer different ranges of temperature to survive. Therefore microorganisms are categorized as psychrophiles, mesophiles, thermophiles and hyperthermophiles (Kristjonsson and Stetter 1991). Among them, thermophilic organisms have received the attention of microbiologists and biochemists since the first thermophilic bacterial isolation by Miquel (1888). The main reason for this is their stable protein structure and resistance to various chemical reagents (Madigan and Martinko 2006). One of the thermophilic regions is hot water resource or geothermal region. Hot water resources, which consist mostly of oceans and marine ecosystems, are located in different parts of the world (Kristjonsson and Stetter 1991; Madigan and Martinko 2006). The attractive feature of hot water resources is the ecosystem wholeness, i.e. the diversity of the organisms (Adiguzel et al. 2011) and the molecular stability of the biochemical components (Genc et al. 2015). Some of the most important and comprehensive researches have been carried out in the Yellowstone National Park in the USA (Miller et al. 2006; Boomer et al. 2009) which has more than one thousand marine surface formations such as waterfalls and hot springs. Greece (Sievert et al. 2000), Italy (Maugeri et al. 2001), Iceland (Takacs et al. 2001), Bulgaria (Atanassova et al. 2008; Derekova et al. 2008), China (Lau et al. 2009) and India (Sharma et al. 2009) have attracted the attention of researchers. Turkey is one of the world's richest countries in terms of geothermal regions as there are 133 hot water resources (Akkaya and Kivanc 2009) whose temperatures are higher than 40°C. There are also a remarkable number of researches about the geothermal microbiota in Turkey (Belduz et al. 2003; Dulger et al. 2004; Cihan et al. 2012; Cihan 2013; Yanmis and Adiguzel 2014; Yanmis et al. 2015).

Enzymes are proteins catalyzing biochemical reactions that regulate vital metabolic functions in cells. They are involved in various processes of daily life. Although animals, plants and microorganisms are enzyme producers, microorganisms are more attractive due to higher catalytic activity of their enzymes. Furthermore, microbial enzymes are more stable and cheaper as well as obtainable in excessive amounts (Niehaus et al. 1999). Thermostable enzymes that are produced by thermophilic microorganisms are very important for industrial applications due to their resistance under different operation conditions. These enzymes have been reported to be more stable against many solvents, detergents, acidic and alkaline pH, and high temperatures. Because of these properties, the isolation and identification of thermophilic bacteria, and the determination and characterization of the enzymes from these bacteria are very essential (Bhalla et al. 2013; Dettmer et al. 2013).

The aim of the study was the isolation and identification of the thermophilic bacteria from some geothermal parts of Turkey like Bademli Bahce Hot Spring (Bursa), Sulusaray Hot Spring (Tokat), Sicak Cermik Hot Spring (Sivas), Bostanci Hot Spring (Balıkesir) and Diyadin Hot Spring (Agri), and determination of the thermophilic bacteria which could be grown within a wide range of pH and temperatures. For this purpose, water and sludge samples from different geothermal resources were collected. Firstly the bacterial isolations and characterizations were carried out by conventional methods (growth



CONTACT Gulsah Adiguzel 🛛 gulsah@atauni.edu.tr 💽 Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Ataturk University, Erzurum 25240, Turkey; Ahmet Adiguzel 🖾 adiguzel@atauni.edu.tr 🗈 Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Science, Ataturk University, 25240, Erzurum, Turkey.

temperature, pH and salt concentration, colony morphology, Gram staining, endospore formation, and biochemical tests), and some molecular methods (fatty acid methyl esters [FAMEs], GTG5-PCR and 16S rRNA sequencing). Then, the assays for lipase, protease, amylase and cellulase were carried out in Petri dishes, and all of the isolates were evaluated according to their enzyme production ability to find out the biotechnologically important isolates.

Materials and methods

The water and sludge samples were aseptically collected from Bademli Bahce Hot Spring ($58^{\circ}C - pH 6.6$), Sulusaray Hot Spring ($50^{\circ}C - pH 7.2$), Sicak Cermik Hot Spring ($53^{\circ}C - pH 6.6$), Bostanci Hot Spring ($51^{\circ}C - pH 7.2$) and Diyadin Hot Spring ($70^{\circ}C - pH 6.8$). The samples were used to inoculate the growth medium in order to propagate the microbes in Nutrient Agar (NA) medium and were incubated (Thermo Scientific Heratherm, the USA) at $55^{\circ}C$ for 24–48 h, and the growing colonies were re-spread on the NA to obtain pure cultures. The colonies were stored in the nutrient broth (NB) with 15% glycerol content at $-86^{\circ}C$ for further studies (Harley and Prescott 2002), and these colonies were subsequently referred to as "test isolates."

Conventional analysis of the test isolates

The test isolates were subjected to conventional tests. The pH (Mettler Toledo) and the temperature range for bacterial growth were tested between pH 4.0 and 12.0 and 35 and 85°C, respectively. The NaCl requirement for growth was also tested in the NB medium containing 2.0–10.0% (w/v) NaCl. Cell and colony morphology, Gram stainings, and the presence of catalase and oxidase were also investigated according to the methods described by Harley and Prescott (2002).

Analysis of the fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) profiles

The fatty acid extraction of the bacterial cells was carried out according to a method by Adiguzel et al. (2012a). For this purpose, the cells were streaked in a quadrant pattern and grown overnight on Trypticase Soy Broth Agar (TSBA) at 56°C, and 40 mg of the bacterial cells was extracted at the end of the incubation. After the extraction, gas chromatography was performed with a Hewlett Packard Gas Chromatograph with fused-silica capillary column (25 m \times 0.2 mm) and crosslinked with 5% phenyl methyl silicone (HP6890, Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA) by using the aerobe method TSBA40 and the library TSBA Version 4.0, followed by the analysis of the data by the MIS software package, from MIDI Inc. (Newark, Del., USA). The identity of the bacterial strains was displayed by the comparison of the FAME profiles of the unknown test strains with those in the library using a computer.

DNA extraction from the test isolates

To extract the total genomic DNA, the cells were firstly washed with 1 mL of Sodium Chloride-Tris-EDTA (STE) (150 mM

NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 50 mM Na₂-EDTA) buffer. And then, resuspension was carried out to lyse the cells at 75°C for 30 min in 500 μ L STE buffer. After this stage, the lysate was applied with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide/NaCl mixture and finally the DNA was extracted using phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and precipitated in isopropanol (Nadaroglu et al. 2015).

Gene amplification, cloning and sequencing for phylogenetic studies

The 16S rRNA, evolutionary gene of the prokaryotic ribosome, from the total DNA of the test isolates was amplified by PCR with the forward primer UNI16S-L: (5'-ATTCTAGAGTTT-GATCATGGCTCA-3') corresponding to positions 11-26 of Escherichia coli 16S rRNA, and the reverse primer UNI16S-R: (5'-ATGGTACCGTGTGACGGGCGG TGTGTA-3') corresponding to the complement of the positions 1411–1393 of E. *coli* 16S rRNA (Beffa et al. 1996). The amplified fragments were cloned to a vector system (pGEM-T, Promega, the UK) and the clones were sequenced (Macrogen, Amsterdam, the Netherlands). The results of 16S rRNA gene sequencing were analyzed using the GenBank and EzTaxon (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/blast.cgi and http://www.eztaxon.org) server (Altschul et al. 1990; Yanmis et al. 2015). Considering the results of the study, a phylogenetic tree was formed via the neighbor joining method using the software package MEGA 4.0 (Benson et al. 1999; Yanmis et al. 2015).

PCR-based genomic fingerprinting

Test isolates were subjected to rep-PCR with the special primer of GTG5 elements to obtain genomic fingerprinting (Gevers et al. 2001; Adiguzel et al. 2012a). Immediately after the completion of the PCR, the results were converted to a binary matrix (where "1" stands for the presence and "0" stands for the absence of a determined band at a specified position). The data were evaluated by SPSS version 11.0, Windows and Jaccard's coefficient of similarity (1908) was calculated (Adiguzel et al. 2012b).

To obtain the PCR products, 50 ng of purified DNA was used as the template in 30 μ l reaction mixture. Twenty-seven microliters of the reaction cocktail was prepared as follows: Specific Gitschier Buffer 5 μ l, dimethyl sulfoxide 2.5 μ l (100%, 20X), dNTPs (10 mM) 1.25 μ l, bovine serum albumin 1.25 μ l (20 mg/ml), primers (5 μ M) 3.0 μ l, Taq polymerase (250 U) 0.3 μ l and water 13.7 μ l. A negative control (no DNA) was included in each PCR assay. PCR reactions were performed with a 3 × 32-well ProFlexTM PCR System, using the following conditions: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 7 min; 30 cycles consisting of 94°C for 30 s and annealing at 45°C for 1 min with GTG5 primary, extension at 65°C for 8 min; and a final polymerization at 65°C for 15 min before cooling at 4°C.

The PCR products (27 μ l) were mixed with 3 μ l gel loading buffer (6X) and subjected to agarose (1.5% w/v) gel electrophoresis in Tris–Acetate–EDTA (TAE) buffer at 100 V for 110 min. After separation of the amplification products by the gel, the fragments were stained with ethidium bromide solution (2 μ l Etbr/100 ml 1× TAE buffer). The amplified DNA product was monitored using the Quantum Vilber Lourmat Gel Documentation System (Australia).

Preliminary enzyme assays

Amylase assay

Amylase assay was performed at 55°C for two days in a medium which contained Na₂HPO₄.7H₂O (6 gL⁻¹), KH₂PO₄ (3 gL⁻¹), NaCl (0.5 gL⁻¹), NH₄Cl (1 gL⁻¹), MgSO₄.7H₂O (0.24 gL⁻¹), CaCl₂.2H₂O (0.24 gL⁻¹), peptone (3 gL⁻¹), starch (10 gL⁻¹) and agar (15 gL⁻¹). The amylase activity was screened by Lugol's solution. Clear and large zones were evaluated as positive, or as amylase production; however, no zone formation was evaluated as negative, or as no amylase production (Yanmis et al. 2015).

Cellulase assay

A medium containing carboxymethylcellulose (10 gL⁻¹), peptone (5 gL⁻¹), yeast (5 gL⁻¹), KH₂PO₄ (1 gL⁻¹), MgSO₄.7H₂O (0.2 gL⁻¹), NaCl (10 gL⁻¹) and agar (15 gL⁻¹) was used for cellulase assay. Incubation was carried out at 55°C for 2 days, and after the incubation, the Petri dishes were stained by Congo-Red Dye (Yanmis et al. 2015).

Lipase assay

Test isolates were inoculated on tributyrin agar medium which contained 1% tributyrin (glycerol tributyrate) and incubated at 55°C. Formation of hydrolysis zone around the culture on Petri dish was controlled for 2 days, and the strains with transparent and the highest zone formation (lipolytic activity) were determined as lipase producers (Ertugrul et al. 2007).

Protease assay

Twenty-four hour bacterium culture in NB was propagated in Skimmed Milk Agar medium at 55°C for 24–48 h to assay proteolytic activities of the test isolates. The plates were evaluated according to the zone formations, and the observation of a halo zone indicated positive protease activity (Panda et al. 2013).

Table 1. Conventional analysis results of the test isolates.

Conventional analysis of the test isolates

In this study, the first results were obtained from the isolation studies. A total of 19 bacterial strains were collected and isolated from different geothermal parts of Turkey; these strains were encoded in the form of numbers between O1-O12, O14-O17 and O19-O21.

The microorganisms with different colony formations and cell morphologies were subjected to conventional tests to characterize the isolates, and the results are shown in Table 1. These tests indicated that all of the isolates were Gram-positive (except O4), rod-shaped and motile (except O4), and capable of endospore formation (except O4). They were also positive for catalase (except O2) and oxidase (except O12 and O16). The ranges of pH and temperature at which the growth of test isolates is favored, on the other hand, were determined as 5-9 and $50-65^{\circ}$ C, while the optimum values for pH and temperature were pH 7.0 and 56° C, respectively. From the results of salt test, it was observed that all the isolates were grown between the salt concentrations of 2 and 10%.

FAMEs analysis

In this study, 19 bacterial strains were investigated and 29 different fatty acids were detected (Table 2). Six different fatty acids (15:0 iso, 15:0 anteiso, 16:0 iso, 16:0, 17:0 iso and 17:0 anteiso) were found in all the isolates; however, 15:0 iso was the major fatty acid at a concentration of 21.77–71.08% (except O5, O6 and O11); and the fatty acid 16:0 iso was also found, which is present in all other remaining strains. Four different fatty acids 14:0 (except O9 and O17), 16:1w7c (except O6, O9, O11 and O16); 16:1w11c (except O3, O9, O16 and O20) and 17:1 iso w10c (except O8, O9, O12, O16 and O20) were mostly present in all isolates. Strains except O1, O2, O4-O6, O8, O11, O12 and O20 exhibited the same FAME profile (15:0 iso, 15:0 anteiso, 17:0 iso and 17:0 anteiso) with the concentration between 2.61 and 59.83%. Fatty acid profile of O4 strain was also 15:0 iso (21.91%), 16:0 iso (19.98%), 17:0 iso (11.76%) and

Isolate code	pH range	Salt tolerance (%)	Catalase	Oxidase	Gram staining	Endospore formation	Motility
01	5–8	2–8	+	+	+	+	+
02	5–8	2–8	_	+	+	+	+
03	6–8	2–8	+	+	+	+	+
04	6–8	2–8	+	+	_	_	_
05	6–8	2–6	+	+	+	+	+
06	6–8	2–8	+	+	+	+	+
07	6–8	2–6	+	+	+	+	+
08	6–8	2–8	+	+	+	+	+
09	6–8	2–8	+	+	+	+	+
O10	6–8	2–6	+	+	+	+	+
011	6–8	2–6	+	+	+	+	+
012	6–8	2–8	+	_	+	+	+
014	5–8	2–8	+	+	+	+	+
015	6–8	2–6	+	+	+	+	+
016	6–8	2–10	+	_	+	+	+
017	6–8	2–6	+	+	+	+	+
019	6–8	2–6	+	+	+	+	+
020	6–9	2–4	+	+	+	+	+
021	6–8	2–6	+	+	+	+	+

Table 2. Cellular fatty acid compositions of the test strains (%w)
--

Fatty acids	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	010	011	012	014	015	016	017	019	020	021
9:0	-	-	-	-	0,63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10:0 iso	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,65	0,45	-	-	2,12
11:0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,53	0,72
11:0 iso	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,19	-	-	-	-	-
12:0 iso	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,77	-	-	-	0.61	0,98
11:0 iso 30H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,89
12:0 Aldehyde	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,22	-	-	-	0,61	-	-	-	-	-
12:0 2OH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56,97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13:0 iso	-	-	0,48	-	-	-	0,37	-	-	0,13	-	-	0,44	0,25	-	-	0,57	0.39	-
13:0 anteiso	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,39
14:0 iso	0,56	0,80	1,17	-	0,95	-	1,19	-	0,44	2,69	-	0,52	1,25	2,83	0,38	-	1,05	0.81	2,65
14:0	3,17	1,14	0,94	3,22	3,21	3,49	0,77	1,35	-	1,24	1,57	1,83	0,78	1,29	0,25	-	1,19	1.52	1,41
14:1w5c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.26	-
15:0 iso	21,77	54,35	58,93	21,91	19,71	21,66	58,69	71,08	50,54	30,24	11,48	69,31	58,28	29,50	51,18	59,30	59,83	62.42	28,17
15:0 anteiso	6,64	14,65	19,80	8,71	6,29	5,97	19,91	2,81	16,59	24,30	2,59	3,41	20,77	24,92	15,57	21,74	20,08	2.78	24,01
16:1 w7c	0,69	0,52	0,79	1,80	1,67	-	0,90	2,99	-	3,51	-	2,67	0,63	3,85	-	0,66	0,46	3.29	3,61
16:0 iso	11,88	3,90	2,27	11,64	10,79	11,75	2,41	1,95	3,15	7,50	5,09	2,99	2,64	7,05	3,06	2,40	2,23	2.43	6,51
16:1 w11c	2,64	0,51	-	2,91	3,15	3,87	0,61	2,84	-	1,15	1,21	0.80	0,39	1,89	-	0,65	0,41	-	1,51
16:0	21,48	5,76	2,49	19,98	22,63	21,98	2,30	4.77	2,66	4,05	8,87	4,17	2.20	3,67	2,95	2,65	2,32	4.68	3,64
17:1 iso w10c	1,39	1,67	2,09	1,39	1,51	1,92	1,97	-	-	2,04	0,44	-	1,44	2,41	-	1,47	1,42	-	2,18
17:1 iso w5c	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,22	-	-	-	2,32	-	-	-	-	-	3.14	0,38
17:1 isol/Anteı	0,65	-	0,61	1,01	2,33		0,61	1,05	-	1,36	0,23	-	-	1,52	-	0,39	-	0.95	1,47
17:0 iso	12,88	12,05	7,82	11,76	11,01	13,26	7,66	9,43	18,38	10,76	4,72	10,25	8,25	9,78	18,40	7,59	7,76	11.60	9,36
17:0 anteiso	16,25	4,63	2,62	15,66	14,43	16,10	2,61	2,27	8,24	9,37	6,84	2,54	2,93	9,23	7,56	2,70	2,67	3.0	8,59
17:0 cyclo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17:0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18:0 iso	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18:0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0,38	-	-	-	0,43	-	-	-	-	0,40
18:1 w7c	-	-	-	-	0,90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

17:0 anteiso (15.66%). Finally, 15:0 iso, 15:0 anteiso and 17:0 iso were the major fatty acids of O10, 015 and O21.

16S rRNA sequencing

16S rRNA gene amplification was performed selectively after extracting the total DNA from the test isolates, and all the isolates contained 1421–1449 nucleotides. Later, the nucleotides were analyzed using BLAST, GenBank and EzTaxon; the results are summarized in Table 3. Five of the isolates (O1, O3, O5, O6 and O11) were detected to be the strains belonging to Aeribacillus pallidus at a similarity rate of 99%. Further, six of the isolates (O2, O7, O8, O14, O17 and O19) were related to Bacillus pumilus (with 99% similarity ratio), two isolates (O12 and O16) to Bacillus licheniformis with 99% ratio, three isolates (O10, O15 and O21) to Bacillus thermoamylovorans (\geq 99%), one of them (O20) to Anoxybacillus kaynarcensis (97% ratio of similarity), one of them (O9) to Anoxybacillus gonensis with a similarity rate of 99%, and in addition, one of the isolates (O4) belonged to Thermomonas hydrothermalis at a ratio of 99% (Figure 1).

Table 3. Comparison of 16S rRNA gene sequences of the obtained isolates with those in GenBank.

lsolate code	GenBank accession number	Closest phylogenetic relative	Number of nucleotides*	Percent identity**
01	KM668038	Aeribacillus pallidus	1427 bp	99%
02	KM596787	Bacillus pumilus	1449 bp	99%
03	KM596788	Aeribacillus pallidus	1427 bp	99%
04	KM596789	Thermomonas hydrothermalis	1421 bp	99%
05	KM596790	Aeribacillus pallidus	1427 bp	99%
06	KM596791	Aeribacillus pallidus	1427 bp	99%
07	KM596792	Bacillus pumilus	1424 bp	99%
08	KM596793	Bacillus pumilus	1431 bp	99%
09	KM596794	Anoxybacillus gonensis	1429 bp	99%
010	KM596795	Bacillus thermoamylovorans	1426 bp	99%
011	KM596796	Aeribacillus pallidus	1427 bp	99%
012	KM596797	Bacillus licheniformis	1426 bp	99%
014	KM596799	Bacillus pumilus	1423 bp	99%
015	KM596800	Bacillus thermoamylovorans	1425 bp	99%
016	KM596801	Bacillus licheniformis	1425 bp	99%
017	KM596802	Bacillus pumilus	1423 bp	99%
019	KM596803	Bacillus pumilus	1423 bp	99%
020	KM668039	Anoxybacillus kaynarcensis	1429 bp	97%
021	KT364469	Bacillus thermoamylovorans	1426 bp	99%

*The number of 16S rRNA nucleotides used for the alignment.

**The percentage identity with the 16S rRNA sequence of the closest phylogenetic relative.



Figure 1. Neighbor joining phylogenetic tree on the basis of 16S rRNA gene sequence data of the thermophilic bacteria isolated from various hot springs in Turkey. *Alicy-clobacillus acidiphilus* was used as out-group. Bootstrap values based on 1000 replications are listed as percentages at branching points. The accession numbers are given in parentheses. Only bootstrap values >50% are shown at nodes. The scale bar represented 1% divergence.

Genomic fingerprinting of the isolates

As a result of GTG5-PCR, thermophilic bacterial strains exhibited 5–17 fragments between 200 and 6000 bp (Figures 2 and 3) with the GTG5 primer. According to the cluster analysis that was taken into consideration after the GTG5-PCR, the test isolates were classified into two main clusters and one of the main cluster had three sub-clusters (Figure 4). The dendrogram showed the clusters level by level. *Anoxybacillus bogrovensis* DSM 17956 separately formed the first main cluster, while the first sub-cluster was composed of *Bacillus licheniformis* (O12 and O16) and *Bacillus licheniformis* DSM 13. The third cluster was composed of *A. gonensis* (O9), *A. kaynarcensis* (O20) and *A. gonensis* NCIMB 13933, while the second sub-cluster was comprised of the remaining strains.

Enzyme productions

Among the thermophilic isolates, eleven, eight, fourteen and eight of the isolates in this study were identified as producers of lipase, protease, amylase and cellulase, respectively (Table 4). Producers of protease were *Aeribacillus pallidus* (O3), *Bacillus pumilus* (O2, O7, O8, O14 and O19), *Bacillus thermoamylovorans* (O15) and *Bacillus licheniformis* (O16), while cellulase-positive strains were *Aeribacillus pallidus* (O3), *Bacillus pumilus* (O7, O8, O14, O17 and O19), *Anoxybacillus gonensis* (O9) and *Bacillus licheniformis* (O16). On the other hand, *Aeribacillus pallidus* (O3), *Bacillus pumilus* (O7), *Bacillus thermoamylovorans* (O10 and O21) and *Anoxybacillus kaynarcensis* (O20) were not producers of amylase and *A. pallidus* (O1, O5, O6 and O11), *A. gonensis* (O9), *B. licheniformis* (O12), *B. pumilus* (O14) and *B. thermoamylovorans* (O15) were not producers of lipase. In



Figure 2. GTG5 profile generated with the GTG5 primer. Lanes: 1) Aeribacillus pallidus DSM 3670; 2) O1; 3) O5; 4) O6; 5) O11; 6) O8; 7) Bacillus pumilus ATCC 7061; 8) O3; 9) O2; 10) O7; 11) O14; 12) O17; 13) O19; 14) Bacillus licheniformis DSM 13; 15) O16; 16) O12; N) Negative Control; M) Molecular Marker (10 kb).

addition, *B. pumilus* (O8 and O19) and *B. licheniformis* (O16) had the production capacity for all four enzymes.

Discussion

The thermophilic test isolates from water and sludge samples of Turkey's different geothermal areas were isolated and then characterized by several methods. According to conventional studies that were carried out, the optimum growth temperatures of the isolates were higher than 50°C, and this observation was compatible with the studies conducted about thermophilic microorganisms (Adiguzel et al. 2011; Slobodkin and Slobodkina 2014; Yanmis and Adiguzel 2014; Hedlund et al. 2015). The Gram staining of the O4 strain was different from the remaining isolates, i.e. Gram-negative, which is compatible with the literature data (Alves et al. 2003).

FAME analysis was conducted to test the isolates in this study; *15:0 anteiso* (except O1, O4, O5, O6, O8, O11, O12 and O20), *16:0* (only present in O1, O2, O4, O5, O6 and O11), *17:0 iso* (except O11), *17:0 anteiso* (only present in O1, O4, O5, O6, O9 and O10) and *15:0 iso* were the major fatty acids. As a result, these findings were found to be related to the FAME profiles of *Anoxybacillus*, *Bacillus* and *Aeribacillus* that have

been reported in the literature (Nazina et al. 2005; Zaliha et al. 2007; Adiguzel et al. 2009; Yanmis and Adiguzel 2014; Yanmis et al. 2015). One of the points of attention in the results is that Belduz et al. (2003) and Dulger et al. (2004) defined 15:0 iso as the main fatty acid in Anoxybacillus species with 62-68% content, and in this study A. kaynarcensis (O20) had 15:0 iso at a concentration of 62.42%. Our results showed that the fatty acid profile of the O4 strain was also remarkable. T. hydrothermalis (O4) had major fatty acids as 15:0 iso (21.91%), 16:0 iso (19.98%), 17:0 iso (11.76%) and 17:0 anteiso (15.66%), and these results were in agreement with the literature studies (Alves et al. 2003; Mergaert et al. 2003). The other point of attention in the results was that, in their study, Coorevits et al. (2011) determined the FAME profiles of B. thermoamylovorans as being comprised of 15:0 iso, 15:0 anteiso and 17:0 iso as it was the same in our results for O10, O15 and O21. As a result of the analysis, the major fatty acid content of the isolates was well-matched with similar researches in the literature. However, 16S rRNA sequencing and GTG5-PCR were performed to confirm the types of test isolates. To summarize the results, the microbial identification system libraries showed insufficiencies to identify thermophilic bacteria Aeribacillus, Anoxybacillus, Bacillus and Thermomonas at the species level. Therefore,



Figure 3. GTG5 profile generated with the GTG5 primer. Lanes: 17) Anoxybacillus gonensis NCIMB 13933; 18) O9; 19) O20; 20) A. bogrovensis DSM 17956; 21) A. kaynarcensis DSM 21706; 22) O21; 23) O10; 24) O4; 25) O15; N) Negative Control; M) Molecular Marker (10 kb).



Figure 4. GTG5-PCR cluster analysis: 1) Aeribacillus pallidus DSM 3670; 2) O1; 3) O5; 4) O6; 5) O11; 6) O8; 7) Bacillus pumilus ATCC 7061; 8) O3; 9) O2; 10) O7; 11) O14; 12) O17; 13) O19; 14) Bacillus licheniformis DSM 13; 15) O16; 16) O12; 17) Anoxybacillus gonensis NCIMB 13933; 18) O9; 19) O20; 20) Anoxybacillus bogrovensis DSM 17956; 21) Anoxybacillus kaynarcensis DSM 21706; 22) O21; 23) O10; 24) O4; 25) O15.

phylogenetic relationship of the test isolates with the known types was determined by 16S rRNA sequencing and with GTG5 genomic characterization methods, respectively. The sequencing data were examined with BLAST (http://blast.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/blast.cgi and http://www.eztaxon.org) to discover the evolutionary relationships of the test isolates. The similarity ratio (\geq 99%) of O1, O3, O5, O6 and O11 to *A. pallidus* in this study also demonstrated compliance with the results by Yasawong et al. (2011) and Yanmis and Adiguzel (2014). O2, O7,

 Table 4. Extracellular enzyme profiles of the test isolates from some geothermal regions of Turkey.

Isolate code	Lipase	Protease	Amylase	Cellulase
01	_	_	+	_
02	+	+	+	_
03	+	+	_	+
04	+	_	+	_
05	_	_	+	_
06	_	_	+	_
07	+	+	_	+
08	+	+	+	+
09	_	_	+	+
010	+	_	_	_
011	_	_	+	_
012	_	_	+	_
014	_	+	+	+
015	-	+	+	_
016	+	+	+	+
017	+	-	+	+
019	+	+	+	+
O20	+	-	-	_
021	+	_	_	_

O8, O14, O17 and O19 belonged to *B. pumilus*, and Cihan et al. (2012) isolated *B. pumilus* at the same similarity ratio with our results. As resulted in our study, Chebbi et al. (2014) also isolated *B. licheniformis* in their study at a rate of \geq 99%. Our data for O20 showed that this isolate matched with *A. kaynarcensis*; Inan et al. (2013) also isolated *A. kaynarcensis* from a hot water resource with \geq 97% similarity; in addition, O9 isolate matched with *A. gonensis* (Belduz et al. 2003) \geq 99%. The evolutionary study detected 19 bacterial strains and 14 related species by neighbor joining method. The nucleotide difference and the p-distance were used to draw the tree by scaling with branch lengths of the same units. A total of 1582 positions were obtained in the final data without gaps and missing data.

16S rRNA sequencing was inadequate to differentiate species in sub-species (Vandamme et al. 1996), therefore rep-PCR (GTG5-PCR) was carried out together with 16S rRNA sequencing and GTG5-PCR showed its advantages in the aspect of determining genomic differences between the strains. As a result of 16S rRNA sequencing analysis, O9 isolate showed high compatibility with A. *gonensis* at a power rate of \geq 99, and also showed higher similarity to O20 isolate more than A. *gonensis* NCIMB 13933 standard strain according to GTG5-PCR results. Considering all these data, 16S rRNA results were supported with GTG5-PCR method and the reliability of our results were consolidated in accordance with the literature data (Gevers et al. 2001; Nazina et al. 2005; Zaliha et al. 2007; Adiguzel and Atasever 2009; Khiyami et al. 2012; Cihan 2013; Adiguzel and Yurekli 2015).

In addition to determination of the basic and general requirements of the test isolates, the enzyme Petri dish assays were carried out to control the enzyme production capacity, because the importance of the enzyme production ability has been a supply for industrial applications and this importance has been increased when the growth requirements of the microorganism were not very sensitive. In this study, among the isolates, Aeribacillus pallidus (Aanniz et al. 2015) was the producer of protease and Anoxybacillus gonensis (Genc et al. 2015) was the producer of cellulase. Some of Bacillus sp. isolates were both protease and cellulase producers (Kamran et al. 2015; Ladeira et al. 2015). Some of our isolates, which were members of the genus Bacillus (Bora and Bora 2012; Nathan and Nair 2013), Aeribacillus pallidus (Stathopoulou et al. 2013; Aanniz et al. 2015), Anoxybacillus gonensis (Faiz et al. 2007; Baltas et al. 2012), Anoxybacillus kaynarcensis and Thermomonas hydrothermalis were lipase and amylase producers. Some of the isolates, B. pumilus (O8 and O19) and B. licheniformis (O16), were producers of all four enzymes (Popović et al. 2014). However, there was no publication about the cellulase enzyme production of Anoxybacillus kaynarcensis, Thermomonas hydrothermalis and Aeribacillus pallidus.

Protease, lipase, amylase and cellulase are important enzymes in terms of industrial value; and among these, lipases have a wide area of usage in detergent, food, bioresolution of pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, bioremediation, cosmetics and perfumery industry (Hasan et al. 2006). Proteases, which constitute 60% of the global enzyme market, have a wide area of usage in various sectors like detergents, leather, food, cosmetics, medicine and medical diagnosis (Gupta et al. 2002; Laxman et al. 2005). In addition to these enzymes, amylases, which decompose the starch, are used in areas like manufacturing high fructose containing syrups, fermentation of starch to ethanol, and treatment of starch processing wastewater (Aiyer 2005). On the other hand, cellulases are used in areas like conversion of cellulosic material to valuable products such as ethanol, improved malting and clarification in fermentation industry, improvement of yields in maceration, extracting, texture and pressing (Kuhad et al. 2011). When all this information is taken into account, it is clear that this is a preliminary study in terms of gaining thermophilic strains, which synthesize biotechnologically important enzymes, to literature.

Moreover, bacterial isolates in this study showed wide spectrums of pH with high temperature tolerance. Therefore, this range of pH and temperature makes the process resistant to sudden acidic and alkaline changes with high temperatures in these industrial applications of enzymes (Dhiman et al. 2011; Ghareib et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2014; Genc et al. 2015).

Conclusion

The strains that have been isolated from different geothermal areas of Turkey have the ability to produce industrial enzymes besides their thermophilic advantages. According to the enzyme assays using Petri dish, a large number of strains have the capability of producing more than one enzyme. Therefore, these strains may constitute important reservoirs for biotechnological researches, and it has revealed the originality of this research. Also, according to the literature scan, this study is the first report about the lipase, protease, amylase and cellulase productions of *Anoxybacillus kaynarcensis* and *Thermomonas hydrothermalis*, and cellulase production of *Aeribacillus pallidus*.

According to 16S rRNA sequencing results, O20 strain exhibited 97% similarity to *A. kaynarcensis*. Meier-Kolthoff et al. (2013) reported that there was no need for DNA–DNA hybridization analysis between the strains having a similarity level below 98.2%. Based on these data, it has been concluded that the O20 isolate could be a new species with a high probability. Finally, O9 strain exhibited 99% similarity to *A. gonensis*; however, it is similar to O20 strain according to GTG5-PCR. Consequently, 16S rRNA and GTG5-PCR results revealed that O9 can be a new strain belonging to O20.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support of Ataturk University, Turkey for this work.

Funding

This research was performed under the project numbered 2015/088 and supported by the Research Development Centre of Ataturk University.

References

Aanniz T, Ouadghiri M, Melloul M, Swings J, Elfahime E, Ibijbijen J, Ismaili M, Amar M. 2015. Thermophilic bacteria in Moroccan hot springs, salt marshes and desert soils. Braz J Microbiol 46(2):443–453.

- Adiguzel A, Ozkan H, Baris O, Inan K, Gulluce M. 2009. Identification and characterization of thermophilic bacteria isolated from hot springs in Turkey. J Microbiol Meth 79(3):321–328.
- Adiguzel G, Atasever M. 2009. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Turkish dry fermented sausage. Rom Biotech Lett 14(1):4130–4138.
- Adiguzel A, Inan K, Sahin F, Ozbek T, Gulluce M, Belduz AO, Baris O. 2011. Molecular Diversity of Thermophilic Bacteria Isolated From Erzurum City Pasinler Hot Spring (Erzurum/Turkey). Turkish J Biol 35(3):267–274.
- Adiguzel G, Gulluce M, Bozoglu C, Yanmis D, Gormez A, Atasever M, Adiguzel A. 2012a. Molecular Characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from Retail Beef in Erzurum, Turkey. J Pure Appl Microbiol 6(3):1033–1041.
- Adiguzel G, Bozoglu C, Yanmis D, Gormez A, Gulluce M, Adiguzel A. 2012b. Phenotyping and genotyping characterization of *Salmonella* strains from retail beef in Erzurum, Turkey. J Pure Appl Microbiol 6 (4):1581–1589.
- Adiguzel G, Yurekli E. 2015. Isolation and Molecular Characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from Chicken Samples in Erzurum, Turkey. Res J Biotechnol 10(7):63–69.
- Aiyer PV. 2005. Amylases and their applications. Afr J Biotechnol 4 (13):1525–1529.
- Akkaya SE, Kivanc M. 2009. Thermophilic Bacteria; Methods of isolation and identification of Gram negative Bacilli in hot springs. Electronic Microbiology Journal TR 07(1):01–23.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215(3):403–410.
- Alves MP, Rainey FA, Nobre MF, da Costa MS. 2003. Thermomonas hydrothermalis sp. nov., A New Slightly Thermophilic γ-Proteobacterium Isolated from a Hot Spring in Central Portugal. Syst Appl Microbiol 26(1):70–75.
- Atanassova M, Derekova A, Mandeva R, Sjøholm C, Kambourova M. 2008. Anoxybacillus bogrovensis sp. nov., a novel thermophilic bacterium isolated from a hot spring in Dolni Bogrov, Bulgaria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58(10):2359–2362.
- Baltas N, Dincer B, Kolaylı S, Ekinci AP, Adiguzel A, Gulluce M. 2012. Purification and Characterization of Extracellular Alpha-Amylase From Anoxybacillus gonensis Z4 Strain. Turk J Biochem 37(1):40.
- Beffa T, Blanc M, Lyon PF, Vogt G, Marchiani M, Fischer JL, Aragno M. 1996. Isolation of *Thermus* strains from hot composts (60 to 80°C). Appl Environ Microbiol 62(5):1723–1727.
- Belduz AO, Dulger S, Demirbag Z. 2003. Anoxybacillus gonensis sp. nov., a moderately thermophilic, xylose-utilizing, endospore-forming bacterium. Int J Sys Evol Microbiol 53(5):1315–1320.
- Benson D, Boguski M, Lipman D, Ostell J, Ouellette B, Rapp B, Wheeler D. 1999. Genbank. Nucleic Acids Res 27:12–17.
- Bhalla A, Bansal N, Kumar S, Bischoff KM, Sani RK. 2013. Improved lignocellulose conversion to biofuels with thermophilic bacteria and thermostable enzymes. Bioresource Technol 128:751–759.
- Boomer SM, Noll KL, Geesey GG, Dutton BE. 2009. Formation of multilayered photosynthetic biofilms in an alkaline thermal spring in Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. Appl Environ Microbiol 75 (8):2464–2475.
- Bora L, Bora M. 2012. Optimization of Extracellular Thermophilic Highly Alkaline Lipase From Thermophilic *Bacillus* sp Isolated from Hotspring of Arunachal Pradesh, India. Braz J Microbiol 43(1): 30–42.
- Bozoglu C, Adiguzel A, Nadaroglu H, Yanmis D, Gulluce M. 2013. Purification and Characterization of Laccase from A Newly Isolated Thermophilic Brevibacillus sp. (Z1) and Its Application of Removal Textile Dyes. Res J Biotechnol 8(9):56–66.
- Chebbi A, Mnif S, Mhiri N, Jlaiel L, Sayadi S, Chamkha M. 2014. A moderately thermophilic and mercaptan-degrading *Bacillus licheniformis* strain CAN55 isolated from gas-washing wastewaters of the phosphate industry, Tunisia. Int Biodeterior Biodegradation 94:207–213.
- Cihan AC, Tekin N, Ozcan B, Cokmus C. 2012. The genetic diversity of genus *Bacillus* and the related genera revealed by 16S rRNA gene

sequences and ARDRA analyses isolated from geothermal regions of Turkey. Braz J Microbiol 43(1):309–324.

- Cihan AC. 2013. Taxonomic Classification of *Anoxybacillus* Isolates from Geothermal Regions in Turkey by 16S rRNA Gene Sequences and ARDRA, ITS-PCR, Rep-PCR Analyses. Polish J Microbiol 62(2):149–163.
- Combet-Blanc Y, Ollivier B, Streicher C, Patel BKC, Dwivedi PP, Pot B, Prensier G, Garcia JL. 1995. *Bacillus thermoamylovorans* sp. nov., a moderately thermophilic and amylolytic bacterium. Int J Syst Bacteriol 45:9–16.
- Coorevits A, Logan NA, Dinsdale AE, Halket G, Scheldeman P, Heyndrickx M, De Vos P. 2011. *Bacillus thermolactis* sp nov., isolated from dairy farms, and emended description of *Bacillus thermoamylovorans*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61:1954–1961.
- Demir N, Nadaroglu H, Demir Y, Isik C, Taskin E, Adiguzel A, Gulluce M. 2014. Purification and characterization of an alkaline pectin lyase produced by a newly isolated *Brevibacillus borstelensis* (P35) and its applications in fruit juice and oil extraction. Eur Food Res Technol 239(1):127–135.
- Derekova A, Mandeva R, Kambourova M. 2008. Phylogenetic diversity of thermophilic carbohydrate degrading Bacilli from Bulgarian hot springs. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 24(9):1697–1702.
- Dettmer A, dos Anjos PS, Gutterres M. 2013. Special Review Paper: Enzymes in The Leather Industry. J Am Leather Chem As 108(4): 146–158.
- Dhiman SS, Garg G, Sharma J, Mahajan R. 2011. Characterization of statistically produced xylanase for enrichment of fruit juice clarification process. N Biotechnol 28(6):746–755.
- Dulger S, Demirbag Z, Belduz AO. 2004. Anoxybacillus ayderensis sp. nov. and Anoxybacillus kestanbolensis sp. nov. Int J Sys Evol Microbiol 54 (5):1499–1503.
- Ertugrul S, Donmez G, Takac S. 2007. Isolation of lipase producing *Bacillus* sp. from olive mill wastewater and improving its enzyme activity. J Hazard Mater 149:720–724.
- Faiz O, Colak A, Saglam N, Canakçi S, Beldüz AO. 2007. Determination and characterization of thermostable esterolytic activity from a novel thermophilic bacterium *Anoxybacillus gonensis* A4. J Biochem Mol Biol 40(4):588–594.
- Genc B, Nadaroglu H, Adiguzel A, Baltaci O. 2015. Purification and characterization of an extracellular Cellulase from *Anoxybacillus gonensis* O9 isolated from geothermal area in Turkey. J Environ Biol 36(6): 1319–1324.
- Gevers D, Huys G, Swings J. 2001. Applicability of rep-PCR fingerprinting for identification of *Lactobacillus* species. FEMS Microbiol Lett 205 (1):31–36.
- Ghareib M, Fawzi EM, Aldossary NA. 2014. Thermostable alkaline protease from *Thermomyces lanuginosus*: optimization, purification and characterization. Ann Microbiol 64(2):859–867.
- Gupta R, Beg QK, Khan S, Chauhan B. 2002. An overview on fermentation, downstream processing and properties of microbial alkaline proteases. Appl Microbial Biotechnol 60(4):381–395.
- Harley JP, Prescott, LM. 2002. Laboratory Exercises in Microbiology. New York, USA: The McGraw-Hill Companies. p. 2–228.
- Hasan F, Shah AA, Hameed A. 2006. Industrial applications of microbial lipases. Enzyme Microb Tech 39(2):235–251.
- Hedlund BP, Reysenbach AL, Huang LQ, Ong JC, Liu ZZ, Dodsworth JA, Dong HL. 2015. Isolation of diverse members of the Aquificales from geothermal springs in Tengchong, China. Front Microbiol 6:157.
- Inan K, Belduz AO, Canakci S. 2013. Anoxybacillus kaynarcensis sp nov., a moderately thermophilic, xylanase producing bacterium. J Basic Microbiol 53(5):410–419.
- Kamran A, Ur Rehman H, Ul Qader SA, Baloch AH, Kamal M. 2015. Purification and characterization of thiol dependent, oxidation-stable serine alkaline protease from thermophilic *Bacillus* sp. J Genet Eng Biotechnol 13(1):59–64.
- Khiyami MA, Serour EA, Shehata MM, Bahklia AH. 2012. Thermo-aerobic bacteria from geothermal springs in Saudi Arabia. Afr J Biotechnol 11:4053–4062.
- Kristjonsson JK, Stetter KO. 1991. Thermophilic Bacteria. London: CRC Pres, Inc. p. 1–13.
- Kuhad RC, Gupta R, Singh A. 2011. Microbial Cellulases and Their Industrial Applications. Enzyme Res 2011:1–10

- Ladeira SA, Cruz E, Delatorre AB, Barbosa JB, Martins MLL. 2015. Cellulase production by thermophilic *Bacillus* sp. SMIA-2 and its detergent compatibility. Electron J Biotechnol 18(2):110–115.
- Lau MC, Aitchison JC, Pointing SB. 2009. Bacterial community composition in thermophilic microbial mats from five hot springs in central Tibet. Extremophiles 13(1):139–149.
- Laxman RS, Sonawane AP, More SV, Rao BS, Rele MV, Jogdand VV. 2005. Optimization and scale up of production of alkaline protease from *Conidioboluscoronatus*. Process Biochem 40(9):3152–3158.
- Madigan MT, Martinko JM. 2006. Brock Biology of Microorganisms. USA: Pearson Prentice Hall. p. 150–156.
- Maugeri TL, Gugliandolo C, Caccamo D, Stackebrandt E. 2001. A polyphasic taxonomic study of thermophilic bacilli from shallow, marine vents. Syst Appl Microbiol 24(4):572–587.
- Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M, Spröer C & Klenk HP. 2013. When should a DDH experiment be mandatory in microbial taxonomy? Arch Microbiol 195:413–418.
- Mergaert J, Cnockaert MC, Swings J. 2003. *Thermomonas fusca* sp. nov. and *Thermomonas brevis* sp. nov., two mesophilic species isolated from a denitrification reactor with poly(ε;-caprolactone) plastic granules as fixed bed, and emended description of the genus *Thermomonas* Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53:1961–1966.
- Miller SR, Purugganan MD, Curtis SE. 2006. Molecular population genetics and phenotypic diversification of two populations of the thermophilic cyanobacterium *Mastigocladus laminosus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 72(4):2793–2800.
- Miquel P. 1888. Monographie d'un bacille vivant au-dela de 70 uC. Ann Micrographic 1:3.
- Nadaroglu H, Adiguzel A, Adiguzel G. 2015. Purification and characterisation of β -mannanase from *Lactobacillus plantarum* (M24) and its applications in some fruit. Int J Food Sci Technol 50(5):1158–1165.
- Nathan S, Nair M. 2013. Engineering a repression-free catabolite-enhanced expression system for a thermophilic alpha-amylase from *Bacillus licheniformis* MSG. J Biotechnol 168(4):394–402.
- Nazina TN, Sokolova DS, Grigoryan AA, Shestakova NM, Mikhailova EM, Poltaraus AB, Tourova TP, Lysenko AM, Osipov GA, Belyaev SS. 2005. *Geobacillus jurassicus* sp. nov., a new thermophilic bacterium isolated from a high- temperature petroleum reservoir, and the validation of the *Geobacillus* species. Syst. Appl Microbiol 28:43–53.
- Niehaus F, Bertoldo C, Kahler M, Antranikian G. 1999. Extremophiles as a source of novel enzymes for industrial application. Microbiol Biotechnol 51:711–729.
- Panda MK, Sahu MK, Tayung K. 2013. Isolation and characterization of a thermophilic *Bacillus* sp. with protease activity isolated from hot spring of Tarabalo, Odisha, India. Iran J Microbiol 5(2):159–165.
- Popović MK, Senz M, Bader J, Skelac L, Schilf W, Bajpai R. 2014. Positive effect of reduced aeration rate on secretion of alpha-amylase and neutral proteases during pressurised fermentation of thermophilic *Bacillus caldolyticus*. N Biotechnol 31(2):141–149.
- Sharma A, Pandey A, Shouche YS, Kumar B, Kulkarni G. 2009. Characterization and identification of *Geobacillus* spp. isolated from Soldhar hot spring site of Garhwal Himalaya, India. J Basic Microbiol 49(2): 187–194.
- Sievert SM, Ziebis W, Kuever J, Sahm K. 2000. Relative abundance of Archaea and Bacteria along a thermal gradient of a shallow water hydrothermal vent quantified by rRNA slot-blot hybridization. Microbiology 146:1287–1293.
- Slabbinck B, Waegeman W, Dawyndt P, DeVos P, DeBaets B. 2010. From learning taxonomies to phylogenetic learning: Integration of 16S rRNA gene data into FAME-based bacterial classification. Bmc Bioinformatics, 11(1):69.
- Slobodkin AI, Slobodkina, GB. 2014. Thermophilic prokaryotes from deep subterranean habitats. Microbiology 83(3):169–183.
- Stathopoulou PM, Savvides AL, Karagouni AD, Hatzinikolaou DG. 2013. Unraveling the Lipolytic Activity of Thermophilic Bacteria Isolated from a Volcanic Environment. BioMed Res Int 703130:1–13.
- Takacs CD, Ehringer M, Favre R, Cermola M, Eggertsson G, Palsdottir A, Reysenbach AL. 2001. Phylogenetic characterization of the blue filamentous bacterial community from an Icelandic geothermal spring. FEMS Microbiol Ecology 35(2):123–128.

- Vandamme P, Plot B, Gillis M, DeVos P, Kersters K, Swings J. 1996. Polyphasic taxonomy, a consensus approach to bacterial systematic. Microbiol Rev 60:407–438.
- Versalovic J, Schneider M, De Bruijn FJ, Lupski JR. 1994. Genomic fingerprinting of bacteria using repetitive sequence-based polymerase chain reaction. Methods Mol Cell Biol 5(1):25–40.
- Wang W, Ma T, Zhang BL, Yao N, Li MC, Cui LL, Cheng JS. 2014. A novel mechanism of protein thermostability: a unique N-terminal domain confers heat resistance to Fe/Mn-SODs. Scientific Reports 4.
- Yanmis D, Adiguzel A. 2014. Molecular typing of thermophilic bacilli isolated from different hot springs of Turkey. Res J Biotechnol 9:83–88.
- Yanmis D, Baltaci MO, Gulluce M, Adiguzel A. 2015. Identification of Thermophilic Strains from Geothermal Areas in Turkey by using Conventional and Molecular Techniques. Res J Biotechnol 10 (1):39–45.
- Yasawong M, Areekit S, Pakpitchareon A, Santiwatanakul S, Chansiri K. 2011. Characterization of Thermophilic Halotolerant *Aeribacillus pallidus* TD1 from Tao Dam Hot Spring, Thailand. Int J Mol Sci 12(8):5294–5303.
- Zaliha RN, Rahman RA, Leow TC, Salleh AB, Basri M. 2007. *Geobacillus zalihae* sp. nov., a thermophilic lipolytic bacterium isolated from palm oil mill effluent in Malaysia. BMC Microbiol 7:77.